



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645,802	08/20/2003	Atli Thorarensen	01308.US1 9612	
25533 75	590 10/19/2004		EXAMINER	
PHARMACIA		DAVIS, ZINNA NORTHINGTON		
301 HENRIETTA ST 0228-32-LAW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KALAMAZOO, MI 49007			1625	
			D. TE MAN ED 10/10/200	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)				
				THORARENSEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	10/645,802						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Examiner	ston Davia	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 August 2004</u> .							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is nor	-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
	4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) not drawn to the elected subject matter is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,20,34-40,42-44 and 46</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-19,21-33, 41 and 45</u> is/are objected to.								
·	•		romont					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be l	neld in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>08</u> ,	4) [5) [<u>//04</u> . 6) [(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-46 are pending.

2. In the response filed August 2, 2004, Applicants have elected the compound of example 6.75 of the specification for examination on the merits.

Response to Applicants' Traversal of the Species Election Requirement

3. Applicants state the Species Election Requirement is improper if the Examiner does not continue to examine other species when she finds that the elected species is allowable.

It is the Examiner's position that:

- The search has been extended beyond the elected species.
- The compounds of formula I are drawn to independent and patentably distinct subject matter. A prior art reference, which anticipates one member of X such as -NH- under 35 U.S.C. 102, would not render obvious another member such as alkenyl under 35 U.S.C. 103. Accordingly, the radicals are independent and patentably distinct.
- 4. It is the Examiner's position that:
 - > The species requirement is still deemed proper.
 - The requirement is therefore made FINAL.
- 5. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1625

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

- 6. Claims 1-46 are Markush claims, which are generic to the elected invention.

 These Markush claims lack unity of invention for the reasons outlined above.

 Accordingly, the Markush type claim will be examined fully with respect to the elected species and further to the extent necessary to determine patentability. See MPEP 803.02.
- 7. Claims 1-46 are objected on the grounds that the claims are drawn to an improper Markush group. In re Harnisch, 206 USPQ 300, states that a unity of invention exists where compounds included within a Markush group (1) share a common utility and (2) share a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to that utility. In the instant case, the claimed subject matter does not share a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to that utility.

The requirement for a proper Markush claim is that it includes only substances that in their physical, chemical and physiological characteristics are functionally equivalent. The members of the instant Markush groups possess widely different, physical and chemical properties. The compounds are not considered functionally equivalent and are so diverse that they demonstrate dissimilar and unrelated properties. The mere fact that there is structural similarity in pharmaceutical agents is not in itself reason to render all the embodiments functionally equivalent. At page 1513, see compound 42(c).

Art Unit: 1625

The improper Markush groups are X and Y.

The examined subject matter is a compound of formula (I) wherein X=NH and Y = CO, CS, -C(=N-CN).

- 9. Amending the examined subject matter would overcome the improper Markush objection.
- 10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6, 20, 34-38, 42-44, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- A. At claims 1-6, 20, 34-38, what radicals are intended by the phrase "electron-withdrawing group"? Clarification is appreciated.
- B. At claims 2-6, 20, 34-38, a claim should contain only one period. See "-SNQ₁₆".
- C At claims 42 and 43, there is no antecedent basis for the radical, R₅.
- D. At claim 44, there is no antecedent basis for the radical, R₆.
- E. At claim 46, line 2, the phrase "compoundsof" should be amended to read as "compounds of ".
- 11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Art Unit: 1625

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

12. Claims 1, 39, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Satoh et al (Reference A).

The instantly claimed compounds are disclosed. At column 1, see the formulas (I) and (II). At column 7, Table 1, see compound 6.

- 13. Claims 7-19, 21-33, 41, and 45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 14. The Information Disclosure Statement filed August 27, 2004 has been considered. The references alone or in combination form do not teach nor suggest structurally similar compounds as the examined subject matter.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zinna Northington Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0682.
- 16. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 1625

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zinna Northington Davis Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1625

Znd 10-15-2004